## Title of study: Factors that affect road safety in the North West Province of South Africa

## Objective of study

The main objective of this study is to identify key risk factors for road accidents in the North West Province in which humans are the victim. The study aims to answer each of the following research questions:

- What are the factors that affect road accidents involving humans in the North West province?
- What is the perception of ordinary people in the North West Province as to what causes road accidents?
- What suitable remedial actions could be taken by the Road Authorities in order to reduce the incidence of road accidents?


## Study design

The design of the study is cross-sectional, descriptive and household based. This is different from longitudinal study designs in which repeated observations are made. In this study, data is gathered from respondents only once.

## List of variables of study

The study is based on a total of 65 variables of study. These variables are related to road accidents in one way or another. Most of the 65 variables are socio-economic, demographic and transport related questions that are helpful in explaining the occurrence of road accidents in the North West Province.

The outcome (dependent) variable of study measures the infliction of an accident on a human by a vehicle on the road (carhuman). Examples of independent variables of study that were used in this study were: nature of road, poor street lighting, condition of brakes, condition of vehicle lights, road worthiness of vehicle, overloading of passengers, overloading of goods, attitude of driver toward other road users, consumption of alcohol while driving, adhering to traffic rules while driving, driving skills, availability of traffic officers for enforcing traffic regulations, use of roadblocks for, enforcing traffic regulations, use of speed traps for enforcing traffic regulations, use of traffic calming procedures for ensuring road safety, and observing road signs effectively.

## Sampling frame and technique

The study is based on a random sample of $\mathbf{n}=1161$ respondents identified from 5 regions of the North West Province based on a three-stage cluster sampling procedure in which sampling weights were used. The province was divided into 5 regions and 54 primary sampling units or enumeration areas. Each of the 5 regions is made up of several place names.

Stage 1 of the selection procedure involved the selection of regions (Central, East, North, South and West).

Stage 2 of the selection procedure involved the selection of primary sampling units or enumeration areas.

Stage 3 of the selection procedure involved the selection of an eligible respondent from households.

At each household, one respondent was selected at random for interview. As such, the probability of selection at Stage 3 of the selection procedure was $100 \%$ or 1 . Ideally, the selection of eligible respondents at the household level should be randomized. However, this was not done due to resource constraints such as time and unavailability of heads of households due to work obligations at the time of the interview. Also, only 19 households were selected from the Northern part of the North West Province for the study. The fact that the probability of selection at Stage 3 was $100 \%$ for all respondents who took part in the study, and the fact that only 19 households were selected from the Northern part of the province constitutes a minor limitation of study.

Table 1: Selection of households per region

| Region | Number of households | Number of respondents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Central | 308 | 308 |
| East | 503 | 503 |
| North | 19 | 19 |
| South | 176 | 176 |
| West | 155 | 155 |
| Total | 1,161 | 1,161 |

## Selection of households or dwelling units

The listing exercise provided a complete list of dwelling units (DU) in the respective selected PSUs. All the dwelling units were considered for selection whether vacant or occupied.

The selection of the sub-sample was based on a fixed number of $10 \%$ DUs in each place name. A constraint was imposed on small places where the total dwelling units are equal or less than 10, all the dwellings units are taken. Where there are multiple households in a dwelling unit, all the households are enumerated. Replacement of refusals, vacant dwellings or non-contact was not considered because of their impact on the probability of selection. Concerted efforts were made to improve the response rates.

## Inclusion criteria

All members of the household aged 18 years and above were considered for selection as respondents. The respondent was selected randomly using a grid. The use of a fixed sample when selecting dwelling units implies that the workload is distributed equally for the fieldworkers. However, some dwelling units carried more weights than others. It is not advisable to replace vacant
dwelling units, refusals or non-contacts in view of the distortion of the probabilistic nature of the sample design.

## The calculation of sampling weights

Strata are regions (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
PSUs are EAs or areas

Stage 1 (region level) selection probability $=\frac{n m_{i}}{M}=P_{1 i} \quad$ where
$\mathrm{n}=$ Number of PSUs (areas) selected from stratum (region) $=5$
$m_{i}=$ Estimated number of respondents in PSU (area) $i$ where $i=1, \ldots, 5$
$\mathrm{M}=$ Total number of respondents in all 5 PSUs (areas)

Stage 2 (area level) selection probability =
$\frac{\text { number of households selected }}{\text { total number of households in area }}=P_{2 i}$

Stage 3 (household level) selection probability =
$\frac{\text { number of int erviewed respondents in selected household }}{\text { total number of respondents in selected household }}=P_{3 i}=1$ since 1 person is
interviewed at each selected household.

Overall selection probability $=P_{1} \times P_{2} \times P_{3}=\mathrm{P}$
Sampling weight $=\frac{1}{P}=\mathrm{W}$

## Recommended adjustment of weights

Adjustment for non-response is not needed as all eligible respondents have been interviewed at each household.
. use c:\bos\sello3.dta, clear
. list id region area weights carhuman curve in 1/60

|  | \| id | region | area | weights | carhuman | curve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | \| 1 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 2. | 12 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 3. | 13 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. | 14 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. | 15 | central | RIETPAN | 9.54 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. | 6 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 7. | 17 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 8. | 18 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 9. | 19 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 10. | 110 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 |
| 11. | \| 11 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
|  | \| 12 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 113 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \| 14 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 |
|  | \| 15 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 |
| 16. | \| 16 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 117 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 118 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 119 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 120 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 21. | 121 | central | RIETPAN | 9.54 | 0 | 0 |
| 22. | 122 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 123 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 24. | 124 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 125 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 26. | 126 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 1 | 1 |
| 27. | 127 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 |
| 28. | 128 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 129 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 30. | 130 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 31. | 131 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 132 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 133 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 134 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 135 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 I |
|  | 136 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 137 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 138 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 139 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 140 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \| 41 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 42. | 142 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 143 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 44. | 144 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 145 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 1 | 0 I |
|  | 1 46 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 \| |
|  | 147 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 I |
|  | 148 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 0 I |
|  | 149 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 50. | 150 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 I |
|  | 151 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 0 | 1 I |
|  | 152 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 153 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 0 | 1 \| |
|  | 154 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 155 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 0 | 1 I |
|  | 156 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 157 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 0 | 1 I |


| 58. | 58 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59. | 59 | central | MADIBOGO | 9.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 60. | 60 | central | MMABATHO | 20.94 | 0 | 1 |

. list id region area weights carhuman curve in 1150/1161

|  | \| id | region | area | weights | carhuman | curve I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1150. | \| 1150 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 1 \| |
| 1151. | \| 1151 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 1 \| |
| 1152. | \| 1152 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 1 | 0 I |
| 1153. | \| 1153 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 1 | 0 1 |
| 1154. | \| 1154 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 01 |
| 1155. | \| 1155 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 01 |
| 1156. | \| 1156 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 0 I |
| 1157. | \| 1157 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 0 I |
| 1158. | \| 1158 | east | WONDERKOP | 1871.37 | 0 | 1 I |
| 1159. | \| 1159 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 0 I |
| 1160. | \| 1160 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 01 |
| 1161. | \| 1161 | east | MMAKAUNYANA | 1.05 | 0 | 01 |

## Statistical methods of data analysis

The Analysis of Complex Survey Data Sets was performed in STATA software. Weighted analysis was performed based on the following statistical procedures:
(1) Frequency tables for discrete variables of study
(2) Pearson's chi-square tests of association
(3) Survey binary logistic regression analysis. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios were obtained for influential risk factors for road accidents.

The statistical package STATA version 10 was used for the analysis of data.

Reference for the calculation of sampling weights
Calculation of sampling weights by Pierre Foy (Statistics Canada)
Pages 71 to 79
Website address: http://timss.bc.edu/timss199si

## Analysis of Complex Survey Data Sets in STATA version 11


. set matsize 800

Current memory allocation

| settable | current value | description | memory usage $(1 \mathrm{M}=1024 \mathrm{k})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| set maxvar | 5000 | max. variables allowed | 1.909 M |
| set memory | 50M | max. data space | 50.000 M |
| set matsize | 800 | max. RHS vars in models | 4.950 M |
|  |  |  | 56.859M |

. svyset [weight=weights], strata(region) psu(area)
(sampling weights assumed)
pweight: weights
VCE: linearized
Single unit: missing
Strata 1: region
SU 1: area
FPC 1: <zero>

```
. svy: mean agecar
(running mean on estimation sample)
Survey: Mean estimation
```



```
Note: missing standard error because of stratum with single
    sampling unit.
. tab1 carhuman unworthy alcohol
-> tabulation of carhuman
\begin{tabular}{cccr} 
carhuman | & Freq. & Percent & Cum. \\
\hline 0 | & 771 & 66.41 & 66.41 \\
1 | & 390 & 33.59 & 100.00 \\
Total | & 1,161 & 100.00 &
\end{tabular}
-> tabulation of unworthy
\begin{tabular}{rccr} 
unworthy | & Freq. & Percent & Cum. \\
\hline 0 | & 483 & 41.60 & 41.60 \\
1 | & 678 & 58.40 & 100.00 \\
Total | & 1,161 & 100.00 &
\end{tabular}
-> tabulation of alcohol
\begin{tabular}{rccr} 
alcohol | & Freq. & Percent & Cum. \\
\hline 0 | & 252 & 21.71 & 21.71 \\
1 | & 909 & 78.29 & 100.00 \\
Total | & 1,161 & 100.00 &
\end{tabular}
. svy: tab carhuman alcohol, obs
(running tabulate on estimation sample)
\begin{tabular}{lrlll} 
Number of strata & \(=\) & 5 & Number of obs & \(=\) \\
Number of PSUs & \(=\) & 54 & Population size & \(=331186.46\) \\
& & Design df & \(=\) & 49
\end{tabular}
\left.\begin{tabular}{r|rrr} 
& \multicolumn{3}{|c}{ alcohol } \\
carhuman & 0 & 1 & Total \\
\hdashline 0 & .1503 & .5758 & .7261 \\
& 174 & 597 & 771 \\
1 & .0412 & .2327 & .2739 \\
& & 78 & 312
\end{tabular}\(\right) 390\)
```



Note: missing test statistics because of stratum with single sampling unit.
. svy: logit carhuman curve brakes unworthy alcohol, or

```
(running logit on estimation sample)
```

Survey: Logistic regression


Note: missing standard errors because of stratum with single sampling unit.

## Basic STATA commands for the analysis of complex survey data sets

. use $c: \backslash s t u d e n t s \backslash s e l l o 3 . d t a, ~ c l e a r ~$
. set matsize 800
. svyset [weight=weights], strata(strata) psu(psu)
. tab survival (Frequency table)
. svy: mean agemoth

```
. tab1 survival lit childU5 sex famsize toiletrec
delivery
```

Pearson's chisquare test of association between mother's literacy status and survival
. svy: tab lit survival, obs

Binary logistic regression analysis for complex survey data sets
. svy: logit survival lit childU5 sex famsize toiletrec delivery contuse ancvisit dbfcat, or

How to obtain adjusted odds ratios
. svy: logit survival lit childU5 sex famsize toiletrec delivery contuse ancvisit dbfcat, or . adjust sex famsize, by(contuse ancvisit) exp ci
[95\% Confidence Interval]

How to obtain design effects for assessing the adequacy of the fitted logistic regression model

```
. estat effects
```

